



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandris, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/823,053	03/30/2001	Milind M. Buddhikot	554-251(Buddhikot 2-1-4-2	8989	
46363 7	7590 10/25/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP/ LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES. INC			SIDDIQI, MOHAMMAD A		
	BURY AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SHREWSBUR	Y, NJ 07702		2154		
			DATE MAILED: 10/25/2009		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/823,053 BUDDHIKOT ET AL. Examiner Art Unit

	Mohammad A. Siddiqi	2154	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence ado	lress
THE REPLY FILED 26 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE	
<ol> <li>N The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo- places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian- time periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31: or (3
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.		
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data wave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the self ofth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) VOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropring	iate extension fe
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Sinc
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,     (a)    They raise new issues that would require further co     (b)    They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO <sup>-</sup> w);	ΓE below);	
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially re-	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.     ∏ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)     ∏ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all			,
non-allowable claim(s).			-
Note: The purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provine status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: NONE. Claim(s) objected to: NONE. Claim(s) rejected: 1-11,13-14, 19-21.	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wil vided below or appended.	be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 12 and 15-18. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary an
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a
<ol> <li>☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>			ice because:
Note the attached Information Displosure Statement(s).     Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)	
STOPMAN	OR JALLYCH 2 2100		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amended newly added limitations in claims 13 and 14 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to applicant's argument, Wolf fails to teach "segementing the streaming multimedia clip...", examiner respectfully disagrees. Wolf discloses distributing the plurality of data segments from the origin server to said plurality of streaming caches (content server distributing via proxerver cache management, fig 1, col 3, lines 18-25) wherein an i-th data segment (fig 3, col 4, liner12) is distributed in an i-th distribution round to each of said plurality of streaming caches (fig 1, 3, 10, col 3, lines 1-67, col 4, lines 1-14).

The Examiner takes note the above Applicant's remark; however, Applicant's remark could not be imported into the claim. Therefore, the Examiner could not consider Applicant 's remark.